

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

47888 e 03/13/2008

HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C. 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036

Paper No.

Application No.:	09/646,763	Date Mailed:	03/13/2008
First Named Inventor:	Lanquetin, Michel,	Examiner:	HUI, SAN MING R
Attorney Docket No.:	GEI-078	Art Unit:	1617
Confirmation No.:	8985	Filing Date:	10/24/2000

Please find attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)				
NOTICE REQUIRING EXCESS CLAIMS FEES		09/646,763		LANQUETIN ET AL.				
		09/040,703		Art Unit	AL.			
			3	3998				
forth in three multipl	cess claim(s) filed on 11 March, 2008 is not accon 37 CFR 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f). Excess claim (§ 1.16(h)), each claim (whether dependent or it dependent claims are considered for fee calculate dependent claim (§ 1.16(j)).	ns fees are requir independent) in e	ed for each claim in xcess of twenty (no	n independent for that § 1.75(c)	orm in excess of ) indicates how			
(30) DA \$ ABAN	he application is not under a final rejection, applicated AYS from the mailing date of this notice, whiche , or (2) an amendment in compliance with 37 CI DONMENT. Extensions of this time period may red in a preliminary amendment.	ver is longer, to s FR 1.121 that car	submit either: (1) the neels the excess cla	ne fee payment of im(s), in order to	of o avoid			
<u> </u>	The funds in Deposit Account No. are insufficient to cover the entire fee due. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.							
□ 2.	2. The Credit Card payment to cover the entire fee due to Account (Card type + last 4 digits ONLY) was refused. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.							
☑ 3.	3. The amendment that includes the excess claim(s) has not been entered, since applicant has failed to remit (or authorize charge to a Deposit Account or Credit Card) the fee as indicated on the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record (PTO/SB/06). Remittance or authorization is due within the time period set forth in this notice.							
☐ 4.	The fee submitted in this application is insufficient. A balance of \$\\$ 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f)).							
<b>⊠</b> 5.	Other.							
	ation (Provide specific details of the required con charge has been added to the fee due): A addition							
1.16, 1.2 WITH IS SUB AVAIL Service charged deposit	MOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS SUBJECT TO CH 21 & 1.492). THE <b>AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE THE APPROPRIATE FEE(S) IS RECEIVED BY</b> JECT TO CHANGE, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT ABLE ON THE USPTO'S WEBSITE AT: http://www.Charges: There is a \$50 service charge for processing back by a financial institution (37 CFR 1.21(m)). The account is below \$1000 at the end of the month (37 Chical Support Staff (TSS): /JULIET MCMILLAN	E IS DETERMIN THE OFFICE (3 Γ APPLICANT CH w.uspto.gov/web/og g each payment re ere is a \$25.00 serv FR 1.21(b)(2)).	ED AS OF THE DA 7 CFR 1.8 & 1.10). F HECK THE CURRED ffices/ac/qs/ope/fees. fused (including a ch	TE A COMPLE BECAUSE THE A NT FEE SCHEDU htm eck returned "unp nonth when the b	TTE REPLY AMOUNT DUE ULE WHICH IS oaid") or			

Note to TSS: Please do NOT use this notice if the application is under a final rejection.